

व्यावसायिक परीक्षण रिपोर्ट COMMERCIAL TEST REPORT

संख्या/ No.: ROTAVATOR-314/2583/2020

माह/Month: November, 2020

THIS TEST REPORT VALID UP TO : 30th November, 2027



VISHAVKARMA, 7 FEET ROTAVATOR (TRACTOR MOUNTED)



भारत सरकार

Government of India कृषि एवं किसान कल्याण मंत्रालय Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare

कृषि, सहकारिता एवं किसान कल्याण विभाग

Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare उत्तरी क्षेत्र कृषि मशीनरी प्रशिक्षण एवं परीक्षण संस्थान Northern Region Farm Machinery Training and Testing Institute

ट्रैक्टर नगर, सिरसा रोड, हिसार, (हरियाणा) - 125 001

Tractor Nagar, Sirsa Road, HISAR (Haryana)-125 001 [ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED]

Website: http://nrfmtti.gov.in/

E-mail: fmti-nr@nic.in

Tele./FAX: 01662-276984

4.11 Lubricants:

| SI. No. | Particulars | As recommended by the manufacturer | As used during test | |
|---------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Primary Gear box | CL-140 | Oil originally filled in the rotavator was no changed | |
| 2 | Secondary Gear box | CL-140 | | |
| 3 | Rotor Hub | Not specified | To supply the second | |
| 4 | Propeller Shaft | Not specified | M.P. Grease | |

5. RUNNING - IN

Rotavator was run in for 1.67 hour before field performance test.

6. LABORATORY TEST

6.1 Hardness: - The surface hardness of blade was recorded as under: -

| Description | As per IS: | Hardness as | Remarks |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
| | 6690:1981 (HRC) | observed (HRC) | |
| Edge portion | 53 to 59 | 45.2 | Does not conform |
| On shank portion | 37 to 45 | 45.63 | Does not conform |

6.2 Chemical composition

The chemical composition of blades is tabulated as under:-

| Constituents | As per IS: 6690-1981 | | Composition | Remarks |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Carbon Steel | Silicon Manganese steel | as observed (% of weight) | might LAX |
| Carbon (C) | 0.70 -0.85 | 0.50-0.60 | 0.2186 | Does not conform |
| Silicon (Si) | 0.10 -0.40 | 1.50-2.00 | 0.3921 | Conforms to carbon steel |
| Manganese (Mn) | 0.50 -1.0 | 0.50-1.00 | 0.9196 | Conforms |
| Sulphur (S) | 0.05(max) | 0.05(max) | 0.0463 | Conforms |
| Phosphorous (P) | 0.05(max) | 0.05(max) | 0.0296 | Conforms |

7. FIELD PERFORMANCE TEST

The field tests of the rotavator comprising of dry land and wet land operation were conducted for 26 and 10 hours respectively to assess the performance test is reported in **Annexure-I & II** for dry land and wet land operation respectively.

Observations of field performance test is summarized in the ensuing table:-

VISHAVKARMA, 7 FEET ROTAVATOR (TRACTOR MOUNTED) (COMMERCIAL)

Summary of Field Performance Test

| Sl. No. | Parameters/operations | Dry land operation | Wet land operation (Puddling) | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| I | II | III | IV | | |
| 1. | Tractor used | TAFE MF 7250 | | | |
| 2. | Gear used | L-1 | L-1 | | |
| 3. | Type of soil | Sandy loam | | | |
| 4. | Average soil moisture (%) | 7.5 to 10.5 | | | |
| 5. | Average depth of standing water (cm) | - | 11.20 to 11.90 | | |
| 6. | Bulk density of soil (g/cc) | 1.620 to 1.670 | | | |
| 7. | Average speed of operation (kmph) | 2.09 to 2.63 | 1.94 to 1.99 | | |
| 8. | Avg. travel reduction (%) | | -1.33 to -0.49 | | |
| 9. | Avg. wheel slip (%) | -2.91 to -1.37 | | | |
| 10. | Average depth of puddle (cm) | y - | 26.30 to 27.8 | | |
| 11. | Average depth of cut (cm) | 7.28 to 7.50 | - | | |
| 12. | Avg. effective width (cm) | 184 to 199 | annibute. La | | |
| 13. | Area covered (ha/h) | 0.331 to 0.432 | | | |
| 14. | Time required for one ha (h) | 2.31 to 3.03 | - | | |
| 15. | Field efficiency (%) | 82 to 91 | | | |
| 16. | Puddling index (%) | | 78 to 84 | | |
| 17. | Fuel consumption | | | | |
| | 1/h | 4.59 to 7.31 | 3.40 to 3.89 | | |
| | l/ha | 13.88 to 18.02 | | | |

7.1 Dry land operation

7.1.1 Rate of work

- i) The rate of work was recorded as 0.331 to 0.432 ha/h, and the speed of operation varies from 2.09 to 2.63 kmph.
- ii) The time required to cover one hectare was recorded as 2.31 to 3.93 h

7.1.2 Quality of work

- i) The depth of operation was recorded as 7.28 to 7.50 cm.
- ii) Average effective width was observed as 184 to 199 cm.
- iii) Field efficiency was observed as 82 to 91 %.

ii) Wet Land operation

7.2.1 The tractor was fitted with half cage wheel on rear pneumatic traction wheel for conducting the puddling operation. The brief specification of half cage wheel is given in Annexure-III

7.2.2 Quality of work

- i) The depth of puddle was recorded as 26.30 to 27.8 cm.
- ii) The puddling index was recorded as 78 to 84 %.

ROTAVATOR-314/2583/2020

VISHAVKARMA, 7 FEET ROTAVATOR (TRACTOR MOUNTED) (COMMERCIAL)

7.3 Labour requirement

In all, two skilled operators are needed to ensure continuous operation of rotavator for day long period.

7.4 Wear analysis (on mass basis)

Wear of hatchet blades (on mass basis) was measured and recorded in ensuing table:

Percentage wear of rotavator blades on mass basis

| SI. | Initial mass | Mass of blade after | Difference of | Percentage of | Percentage of |
|-----|--------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| No. | of blade (g) | 37.69 hr. of | weight (g) | wear (%) after | wear on hour |
| | 10013090 | operation (g) | II THE THE | 37.69 hr. | basis (%) |
| 1. | 1035.1 | 977.3 | 57.8 | 5.58 | 0.15 |
| 2. | 1028.9 | 956.0 | 72.9 | 7.09 | 0.19 |
| 3. | 1036.3 | 951.9 | 84.4 | 8.14 | 0.22 |
| 4. | 1057.6 | 973.6 | 84 | 7.94 | 0.21 |
| 5. | 1032.4 | 956.4 | 76 | 7.36 | 0.19 |
| 6. | 1042.4 | 957.0 | 85.4 | 8.19 | 0.22 |
| 7. | 1043.0 | 988.1 | 54.9 | 5.26 | 0.14 |
| 8. | 1067.2 | 982.9 | 84.3 | 7.9 | 0.21 |
| 9. | 1020.1 | 931.2 | 88.9 | 8.71 | 0.23 |

8. EFFECTIVENESS OF SEALINGS

After completion of wet land operation for 10 hours, the rotavator was dismantled for checking the effectiveness of sealing provided against ingress of dust, and water/mud in various sub-assemblies/components. The observations are given in ensuing table:-

| Sl. No. | Location | Whether ingress of mud and/or water was observed (Yes/No) |
|---------|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Primary reduction gear box | No |
| 2. | Secondary reduction gear box | No |
| 3. | Rotor assembly (hub) | No |

9. EASE OF OPERATION & ADJUSTMENTS

No noticeable difficulty was observed during the operation and adjustment of rotavator.

10. DEFECTS, BREAKDOWN AND REPAIRS

No noticeable defect or breakdown was observed during the test.

11. CRITICAL TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

Deferred till 31.12.2020 vide Ministry O.M. No 13-13/2020 M&T, (I&P) dated 24.04.2020



ROTAVATOR-314/2583/2020

VISHAVKARMA, 7 FEET ROTAVATOR (TRACTOR MOUNTED) (COMMERCIAL)

12. COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.1 The Dimension of three point linkage of implement does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 4468(Part-1)-1997 and therefore, it may be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.2 The Dimensions of PIC & PIC Yoke Bore of implement does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 4931-1995 and therefore, it may be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.3 Provision against overload on P.T.O drive shaft is not provided. It MUST be provided.
- 12.4 The grade of grease is not specified. It MUST be specified.
- 12.5 The stand is not provided. It MUST be provided.
- 12.6 The hardness of blades does not conform to the requirements of IS: 6690-1981. This needs to be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.7 The chemical composition of blades does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 6690-1981. This needs to be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.8 Guard over propeller shaft is not provided. It MUST be provided.
- The observed model –VISHAVKARMA-741 as per labeling plate against model- Rotavator 7 feet as per application. It **MUST** be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.10 Technical Literature:

One booklet entitled operator manual service manual, parts catalogue was provided for reference during test. The same, however, needs to be updated as per IS-8132-1999.

TESTING AUTHORITY

| SANJAY KUMAR AGRICULTURAL ENGINEER | Showal |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| P. K. PANDEY DIRECTOR | 434-mosh |
| | |

Draft test report compiled by Girdhari Lal, Technician

13. APPLICANT'S COMMENTS

No Comments received from the applicant.

